replace or supplement practical confusion, which scarcely seems an advance. The residual possibilities of conceptual analysis will then look rather disappointing to those who had hoped for more from philosophy. My sense is, happily but vaguely, that moral philosophy can do more for medical ethics than Raphael supposes, though to render that sense less inchoate than it presently is would be at the same time to come to understand moral philosophy more than I presently do.

> WILL CARTWRIGHT Department of Philosophy, University of Essex

## AIDS: a Guide to the Law (2nd ed)

Edited by Richard Haigh and Dai Harris for the Terrence Higgins Trust, London and New York, Routledge, 1995, 185 pages, £40.00 hb, £14.99 sb.

The Terrence Higgins Trust, the United Kingdom's largest AIDS charity, is to be commended for producing this compact introduction to the legal issues surrounding the AIDS crisis. The book is a collaborative effort and is divided into ten chapters of approximately twenty pages. As such, it is clearly not intended to be an allembracing detailed examination of the subject. What it does cover, it covers accurately and authoritatively. The chapters range from prisoners' rights, to insurance, children and powers of attorney. Consequently, the book addresses a number of ethical issues relevant to several aspects of HIV management. Each chapter is concisely written by a practitioner with experience in his/her chosen field. The majority of the contributors are either paid staff or volunteers at the trust.

A whole chapter by the late Colin A M E d'Eca (revised and updated by Timothy Costello) is devoted to medico-legal aspects of HIV infection, drawing attention to the relationship between statutory intervention and the management of a public health crisis. The questions addressed include consent, particularly in relation to HIV testing, and also the minefield of confidentiality is examined. This brief chapter gives the bare bones of the principles involved and lays down the legal framework. It does not aim to provide a detailed analysis of the concerns surrounding medical ethics and AIDS, rather, it pinpoints the key issues. One of the chapter's particularly useful aspects is that it includes all HIV-specific legislation or regulations introduced in the United Kingdom. That said, anyone already familiar with the problems surrounding medical ethics is not necessarily going to be enlightened by this chapter. However, it is of great help to be able to find all of the relevant law in one easily accessible place.

Nevertheless, around medical ethics and HIV do run concurrently throughout the text. Angus Hamilton, in his chapter on "The Criminal Law and HIV Infection" gives a wise precis of the present legal and ethical position regarding the transmission of HIV and whether or not this could constitute a criminal offence. Similarly, Simmy Viinikka's chapter on "Children, Young People and HIV Infection" provides an excellent review of the law in relation to children and AIDS, and therefore tackles questions such as local authority responsibility, children's access to information and confidentiality, the role of social services and when parental control can be superseded by the state. Throughout Ms Viinikka pays attention to the emotive ethical issues confronting the impact of HIV and AIDS on children. Nigel Clarke's chapter on "Powers of Attorney, Wills and Probate" is a sound and thorough examination of an extremely complicated and delicate area of law. The chapter on insurance by Peter Roth and Wesley Gryk is authoritative and full of useful advice and information. Bernard Richmond's chapter on "employment" gives a clear guide to one of the most important issues facing people with AIDS.

What is not clear from the publication is for whom this book is intended. Certain aspects of it suggest that it is written for people living with AIDS, to help them understand their rights. However, there is no particular consistency in this approach, and some chapters are focused towards the reader who, it seems, is envisaged in most cases as an advisor who already has some background knowledge of the issues. On balance I would say it is a book for the advisor rather than the "consumer". Anyone who is in the position of advising people with, or affected by, AIDS, on the law, should use this book as a primary source.

Having said that, what is missing from the publication is the inclusion of case studies and/or how the authors

have dealt with actual cases, which would allow the reader to assess how well the existing legal framework is coping with the epidemic. To this extent the book comes across as being a little academic, which is ironic when it is considered that all of those writing for it have extensive day-to-day experience of dealing with legal issues affecting people with AIDS. Also there is not sufficient criticism of the legal system and how it has failed to respond to the challenge of HIV and AIDS.

At the same time, one of the more unexpected aspects of the book is that it highlights the very minimal impact HIV and AIDS have had on the law in the United Kingdom. Unlike the United States, where a body of law has been developed around the AIDS crisis, there have been barely thirty reported cases involving AIDS in the UK. This might be because litigation issues are not emerging within the UK epidemic to the extent to which they have in the United States (certainly our health care system means that treatment and care are more widely available). However, other aspects of the AIDS crisis must be following a similar pattern to that found in the USA. Maybe the reason for this dearth of litigation is that organisations such as the Terrence Higgins Trust are not actually taking the initiative to promote test cases, whereby those living with the virus could seek to control the consequences of their infection.

> **JONATHAN P COOPER** Doughty Street Chambers, London WC1

## **Community Ethics** and Health Care Research

Edited by I C Henry and G Pashley, Dinton, Wiltshire, Mark Allen Publishing, 1995, 193 pages, £12.95.

This text is described by the editors as a "reference and teaching aid". It is divided into two sections: the first deals with professional ethics, management and research issues, and the second debates aspects of applied research within health and social care. Although the volume is designed to stand alone, it forms the fourth in a series on ethical and research issues.

The authors are a professor of applied ethics and a curriculum

development officer in a university faculty of health. They have brought together writings from a variety of professionals in management, social work, nursing, midwifery, and education.

Two chapters in this book deserve special mention. Kevin Kendrick's contribution explores the concept of care within research practice. He logically and thoughtfully discusses the guiding principles underpinning caring and researching, keeping a careful balance between the reality of practice and the theoretical constructs. Norma Fryer's chapter deals with informed consent and is similarly focused and clearly ordered.

Unfortunately the rest of the book does not reach the same standard. An odd blend of basic information and advanced philosophical ideas makes it difficult to see for whom this volume was written. There is a perplexing lack of logic and cohesion overall and this, coupled as it is with questionable syntax, a certain imbalance in style and content, and numerous typographical and design errors, leaves the reader having to work hard to extract useful information from this collection of writings. The stated aim of the book, to analyse and debate major issues of professional ethics generally, and of research specifically, was appealing. The reality is that the text does not realise this aim.

> HAZEL E MCHAFFIE Institute of Medical Ethics, University of Edinburgh

## Contemplating Suicide: the Language and Ethics of Self Harm

Gavin J Fairbairn, London, Routledge, 1995, 209 pages, £37.50 hbk, £12.99 pbk.

This book does more than contemplate the subject of suicide. Gavin Fairbairn is a moral philosopher who has worked in the health care field as a psychiatric social worker. He had, therefore, some personal experience of suicide and those who carry it out (he does not like the word "commit") and aims to produce a book which is of some practical help to those involved.

The philosophical focus of the book is on intention. Fairbairn's assertion is that an act of self harm which results

in death cannot be called suicide unless it was the specific intention of the subject to bring about his or her own death. Conversely, if the subject has acted with this intention and does not succeed this is also to be termed suicide even though the subject is still alive. In other words, the intention to bring about one's own death is both necessary and sufficient for suicide. This assertion leads the author to reject the current range of terms used to describe acts of self harm, such as "parasuicide", "non-fatal" suicide, and "threatened" suicide, as being illdefined and unhelpful. He offers an alternative vocabulary including "cosmic roulette", to define a situation where the self harmer is in doubt about his intent, and "gesturing at suicide", where the intent is not to die, in order to cover situations which are not suicide in the terms of his definition. This new "taxonomy" is helpful in clarifying what Fairbairn has shown to be a muddle and it also allows him to explore his own definition.

Having dealt with what is not suicide Fairbairn spends the remainder of the book examining what is. He considers the possible contexts which might lead an individual to perform the act, such as a feeling of altruism or duty or a desire for revenge; and he discusses the possibility of intervention in a suicidal act and the implications this has for autonomy.

In an interesting "archaeological" chapter Fairbairn examines wellknown historical acts of suicide from the point of view of his thesis. He does not come to any firm conclusion on whether the death of Socrates (which he compares with the ritual suicide of a Japanese seppuku) was suicide or not and his final comments in this section raise a major point on which the book is not clear. Fairbairn's thesis is that the definition of an act of self harm depends on the intent of the person performing it. His comment on the death of Socrates is that his death was suicide only if he intended to take his life because he wished to be dead. This suggests that the intention to die is necessary but not sufficient. There seems in this case to be a further necessary condition: the wish to die. Are both the intention to commit suicide and the wish to die necessary for his definition? I am not sure.

My only other reservations about the book are minor ones. Firstly, the use of the word suicide as a verb (as in "he suicided") does not seem to have validity as far as the Oxford English Dictionary is concerned and I found it

grated in reading the book. Fairbairn explained this usage by saying that he wished to avoid the word "commit" which he felt was loaded by its association with "to commit murder". I think that in the context of his detailed discussion this loading would disappear. Secondly, some of the examples used were so long and involved that the distinctions the author was trying to make were lost in the midst of his flights of imagination. The story of Mr Pailin, who took his own life in the mistaken belief that his daughter had died in an accident and thus pleased his unfaithful wife who had already planned with her lover to murder him, is a case in point.

This is an interesting book which covers the conceptual ground comprehensively, in an entertaining way and makes a good case for a new terminology for acts of self harm. It should be read by doctors, nurses and social workers who have an involvement with suicide and who are interested in the philosophical issues it raises. Since the author wishes the book to be of practical value to those in the field and does not give much attention to a discussion of the morality of suicide - a point of major interest to philosophy - it may not be so attractive to philosophers.

> JANE MACNAUGHTON Department of General Practice, University of Glasgow

## **Buddhism** and **Bioethics**

Damien Keown, London and New York, MacMillan and St Martin's Press, 1995, 208 pages, £40.

Dr Keown has produced a very important book in the fields of crosscultural ethics and Buddhist studies. His goals are stated modestly vet are, in fact, fundamentally ambitious. He set out to write the first study ever to present a Buddhist overview of bioethics that is simultaneously intelligible and interesting to general readers, to specialists in Buddhist studies, and to medical ethicists.

I think he has succeeded very well. This clearly written, carefully researched, and systematically structured volume will be a necessary work for all subsequent writers and researchers in the field. There is no better source than this book for the nonspecialist who wants an introduction to